

# An Overview Of The Ohio Quality Wine Program (OQW)

Todd Steiner
Horticulture & Crop Science
The Ohio State
University/OARDC
Wooster, OH 44691

- Initial groundwork began in 1999 and 2000
- Key members
  - Ohio State University
  - OWPA
  - Several key wine industry personnel
- Worked together in developing a quality wine assurance program draft

### 1999/2000 OQW Personnel Involvement

- OSU/OARDC
- OSU/OARDC
- OSU/OARDC
- OSU/OARDC
- OSU/OARDC
- ODA
- OWPA
- Ohio Wine Industry
- Ohio Wine Industry
- Ohio Wine Industry

- Dr. Dave Ferree
- Dr. Jim Gallander
- Dr. Roland Riesen
- Todd Steiner
- Dave Scurlock
- Bruce Benedict
- Donniella Winchell
- Nick Ferrante
- Jeff Nelson
- Claudio Salvadore

- After developing a fairly thorough rough draft, nothing had been accomplished further until 2004
- A joint collaboration of ODA/(OGIC) and OSU/OARDC placed a considerate effort in updating, changing and kick starting the new OQW program
- Fred Daily: Director of Agriculture, OGIC
- Michelle Widner: Executive Director, OGIC

- An OGIC subcommittee was formed to follow through and initiate this program
- The subcommittee:
  - OGIC board members
  - OSU/OARDC representatives
- We examined other successful states and countries with quality programs in place

# Recent and Current Contributing **OQW** Team Members

- **ODA, OGIC**
- ODA, OGIC
- **ODA, OGIC**
- **ODA, OGIC**
- OSU/OARDC
- **OGIC** grape and members

- **Director, Robert Boggs**
- **Deputy Dir. Greg Hargett**
- Ex. Dir. Christy Eckstein
- Bruce Benedict
- Imed Dami, Todd Steiner
- Nick Ferrante (Chair), Robert wine industry board Guilliams, Jack Lucia, Claudio Salvadore, Kenny Schuchter, Lee Singleton and Andy Troutman

- Program information was gathered from:
  - Steve Burns, Washington Wine Quality Alliance (WWQA)
  - Dr. Gary Pavlis, New Jersey Wine Quality Alliance
  - Len Pennachetti, Vintners Quality
     Alliance Ontario (VQA)

## **OQW** Objectives

- Establish a high-quality standard designation for Ohio wines made from Ohio grown grapes and to promote awareness of the quality of Ohio wines among consumers
- Promote expansion of grape growing in Ohio by focusing on wines made from Ohio grown fruit
- The pilot program was initiated with the 2007 Ohio Wine Competition

### **OQW** Responsibilities

- OGIC/OSU responsible for development and implementation of OQW program
- OGIC Chair has responsibility of assigning members to the quality subcommittee and evaluation of the program
- Two ad hoc committees will provide input from industry stakeholders to the OQW subcommittee

### **OQW** Ad Hoc Committees

- Research Advisory Council (7 members)
  - Two researchers (viticulture and enology)
  - One grape grower
  - Four winery representatives
- Marketing Advisory Council (5 members)
  - Wholesale, retail, media, tourism, OWPA or at large
- Three year evaluation of the pilot program
  - make any changes needed and desired by the Ohio grape and wine industry

- Voluntary and open to all licensed commercial Ohio wineries
- Only wines made from a minimum 90%
   Ohio grown fruit are eligible
- Grape varieties appropriate:
  - Vinifera still, sparkling, ice wine and dessert
  - Hybrid still, sparkling, ice wine and dessert
  - Labrusca- Port and Sherry production only except Norton

- All wines must be in compliance with both Federal (TTB) and state (ODLC) laws
- Estate labeled bottling must be made with 100% estate grown grapes
- Vintage labeled bottling must be 95% of the named vintage
- Appellation bottling must be 85% of the named appellation
- Varietal bottling must be 75% or higher of listed varietal

- All wines must pass both sensory evaluation and chemical analysis prior to achieving the OQW seal designation
- OQW entry fee is \$50.00 per entry
- Three wines required per entry
  - Evaluation, re-pour and analysis

- A minimum of 50 cases available for sale of still, sparkling and dessert wines at time of entry
- A minimum of 20 cases available for sale of Ice Wine at time of entry
- Each wine submission will require an entry form filled out and submitted

- Entry form and application must include:
  - Name of winery, address and contact info
  - Ohio winery Federal and State permit number
  - Varietal or blend designation, category, list of grapes used and percentages
  - Appellation of fruit source, town and county
  - Wine information: total gallons produced, number of cases of wine available for sale and release date

- Quality seal designation is assigned only to the wine submitted for evaluation
  - Subsequent vintages, blends, production or bottling must be resubmitted for OQW designation
- Bulk wines previously achieving OQW status and subsequently sold to another producer, must be resubmitted for OQW designation

# OQW Marketing (Awards)

- OGIC has developed a logo for "POS" materials for the designated wine and wineries
- OGIC will establish a standardized method for distinguishing those wines approved for OQW seal designation
- OGIC maintains records and inventory for all promotional material



# OQW Marketing (Awards)

- The OQW promotional materials will include:
  - OQW capsules on designated bottles
  - OQW stickers on designated bottles
  - Shelf talkers
  - Static stickers/signs
  - Buttons
  - Banners



# **OQW Marketing Program**

- OGIC passed legislation in 2010 for a major marketing effort of the OQW program and award winners
  - Will take place through television media in three major markets of Ohio
    - Cleveland, Columbus and Cincinnati
- Collaborating with premium wine friendly restaurants in these regions for additional marketing benefits

# **OQW** Program Funding

- Through ODA/OGIC
  - Permanent 3-cents/gallon
  - Temporary renewable 2-cents/gallon
  - Total 5-cents/gallon placed towards research and marketing
- Approximately 900,000 annually
- OQW program comes out of PR/Marketing

# **OQW Program Funding**

- Promotional materials cost approximately \$10,000 annually
- Since OQW program inception (2007) we have spent approximately 75,000 to date
- FY-2010 OGIC has dedicated nearly \$109,000 on marketing push for consumer awareness
- FY-2011 OGIC approved 72,000 for OQW program

- The program initiated with the 2007
   Ohio Wine Competition
- The program allows for two other submittal times taking into account:
  - Resubmitted samples
  - Latter release dates
- Additional submittal times:
  - August and January

- A change adopted by the OQW subcommittee in 2009 indicated that the Ohio Wine Competition will no longer evaluate wines submitted for the OQW seal
- Continue with three separate sensory evaluations during the months of February, July and November

- Sensory evaluation of submitted wines under direction of OSU/OARDC Enologist, Todd Steiner
- A pool of not less then 5 well qualified judges will be appointed for the purpose of evaluating the wines on a sensory basis
- The judges are reimbursed for travel, lodging, meals and a modest honorarium for their involvement in the OQW program

- A panel of 5 experienced judges are utilized for three submittal times
  - Judges are from Ohio for reasons of financial feasibility
- High and low scores kicked out averaging 3 of the 5 judges scores

- Wines are randomly coded, presented in the proper category and flight order for evaluation on a standard 20 point scale
- Wines may be rescored within a flight once based on further discussion from the judges based on the attributes of the wine

#### SCORING DESCRIPTION

Total Scores: 17-20 pts: GOLD

15-16 pts: SILVER 13-14 pts: BRONZE

12 pts: above average commercial wine, quite pleasant, some metal potential; 10-11 pts: average wine, sound, but without any real features to commend it; 7-9 pts: below average, lacking in quality, faults outweigh its virtues;

3-6 pts: poor to very poor, gross faults, quite unpleasant;

1-2 pts: undrinkable

#### APPEARANCE

3 - excellent brilliant with outstanding characteristic color

2 - good clear with characteristic color 1 - poor slight haze and/or slight off-color

0 - objectionable cloudy and/or off-color

appearance: clarity: 2 - brilliant

1 - clear

0 - slightly cloudy

color: 1 - correct

0 - slightly off

#### AROMA AND BOUQUET

6 - extraordinary: unmistakable characteristic aroma of grape variety or wine type;

outstanding and complex bouquet;

5 - excellent: characteristic aroma; complex bouquet; well balanced;

4 - good: characteristic aroma; distinguished bouquet;

3 - pleasant: slight aroma and bouquet; pleasant;

2 - acceptable: no perceptible aroma or bouquet or with slight off-odors;

1 - poor: off-odors; may be drinkable; 0 - objectionable: offensive odors; not drinkable;

#### TASTE

6 - extraordinary: unmistakable characteristic flavor of grape variety or wine type

extraordinary balance; smooth; full bodied and overwhelming;

5 - excellent: All of the above, but a little less; excellent but not overwhelming;

4 - good: characteristic grape variety or wine type flavor; good balance; smooth, may have minor

imperfections;

3 - pleasant: undistinguished wine but pleasant; may have minor faults;
2 - acceptable: undistinguished wine with more pronounced faults than above;
1 - poor: disagreeable flavors; may be drinkable with strong foods. . .

0 - objectionable: offensive flavors; not drinkable;

#### AFTERTASTE

3 - excellent: lingering outstanding aftertaste;

2 - good; pleasant aftertaste;

1 - poor; little or no distinguishable aftertaste;

0 - objectionable; unpleasant aftertaste;

### The Ohio Wine Competition/OQW Scoring Summary

### **Individual scoring based on standard UC Davis 20 point system:**

17-20 pts: **GOLD**15-16 pts: **SILVER**13-14 pts: **BRONZE** 

12 pts: above average commercial wine, quite pleasant, some metal potential;

10-11 pts: average wine, sound, but without any real features to commend it;

7- 9 pts: below average, lacking in quality, faults outweigh its virtues;

3- 6 pts: poor to very poor, gross faults, quite unpleasant;

1- 2 pts: undrinkable

### **OWC/OQW Point Total of 5 Judges**

81 – 100: **GOLD** 71 – 80: **SILVER** 

61 - 70: **BRONZE** 

56-60: above average commercial quality wine

46-55: average commercial quality wine

41 - 45: slightly flawed

Below 40: flawed

### **OQW Alternate Submittal Scoring Summary**

### Individual scoring based on a standard UC Davis 20 point system:

17-20 pts: **GOLD**15-16 pts: **SILVER**13-14 pts: **BRONZE** 

12 pts: above average commercial wine, quite pleasant, some metal potential;

10-11 pts: average wine, sound, but without any real features to commend it;

7- 9 pts: below average, lacking in quality, faults outweigh its virtues;

3- 6 pts: poor to very poor, gross faults, quite unpleasant;

1-2 pts: undrinkable

### **OQW Point Total of 3 Judges**

49 – 60: **GOLD** 43 – 48: **SILVER** 37 – 42: **BRONZE** 

34 - 36: above average commercial quality wine

28 - 33: average commercial quality wine

25 - 27: slightly flawed

Below 24: flawed

### **OQW Sensory Evaluation Criteria**

- All sensory evaluations promote a healthy discussion between judges after flight evaluation
- All submission times follow the same standard protocol in keeping format and organoleptic consistency the same
- A minimum of 10 wines required for each OQW sensory evaluation

### **OQW Sensory Evaluation Criteria**

- Wines deserving of OQW seal designation must score a minimum of 15 points (Silver Medal)
- Only wine evaluated will be allowed for OQW designation

# **OQW** Chemical Analysis

- In addition to sensory approval, the wine must also pass chemical analysis in achieving OQW seal designation
- Based on TTB regulations for alcohol, volatile acidity and total sulfur dioxide
- Chemical analysis performed under the direction of OSU/OARDC Enologist Todd Steiner
- Adds a second level of quality viewed positively on a national and international level

- A total of 60 wines out of 121 total entries qualified for OQW seal designation
- 49.6% of wines submitted achieved the OQW designation
- All wines passed chemical analysis

- A total of 59 wines out of 119 total entries qualified for OQW seal designation
- 49.6% of wines submitted achieved the OQW designation
- All wines passed chemical analysis

- A total of 23 wines out of 47 total entries qualified for OQW seal designation
- 48.9% of wines submitted achieved the OQW designation
- All wines passed chemical analysis
- \*February submittal did not occur due to possible program changes close to the sensory valuation

- A total of 26 wines were entered into the February OQW submittal
- 8 wines achieved OQW seal status
- Represents 30.8% of submitted wines receiving OQW seal
- Two more evaluations to come for 2010 taking place in July and November

### Sensory Evaluation Quality Control

2007 August Submittal Re-entries							
Wine	2007 OWC Score	Medal	2007 August Score	Medal	OQW Award		
*CF	62	В	40	В	NO		
*CF	66	В	48	S	YES		
*CF	70	В	45	S	YES		
*CS	63	В	38	В	NO		
Port	60	NM	39	В	NO		
*TRAM	68	В	46	S	YES		

<sup>\*</sup>CF = Cabernet Franc, CS = Cabernet Sauvignon, Tram = Traminette

### Sensory Evaluation Quality Control

2008 January Submittal								
Wine	2007 OWC Score	Medal	2007 August Score	Medal	OQW Award			
*Chard	66	В	39	В	NO			
Ice Wine	65	В	50	G	YES			
*P.G.	66	В	48	S	YES			
Sherry	70	В	47	S	YES			

<sup>\*</sup>Chard = Chardonnay, P.G. = Pinot Gris

# OQW Program Disqualifications

- Only one winery/wine was asked to relinquish their seal designation in 2007 due to utilizing less then 90% Ohio grown fruit.
- This was a simple mistake where the winery did not know the exact percentage of Ohio grown fruit required

### **OQW Current Summary**

- 312 wines have been entered into the OQW Program
- 31 Wineries have participated into the OQW program
- 150 wines have achieved OQW status

### THE OHIO QUALITY WINE PROGRAM STATISTICAL SUMMARY

### **Current Through November, 2010**

Total Entries: 312 Qualifying Entries: 150

Percent of qualifying entries: (48.1%)

Entries not qualifying: 162

**Percent of non-qualifying entries: (51.9%)** 

Qualifying Wines:

### <u>Vinifera Categories</u> (Not Including Blush/Rose) <u>Hybrid categories</u> (Not Including Blush/Rose)

Total entries: 162 Total entries: 102

Percent of total entries: (51.9%) Percent of total entries: (32.7%)

Qualifying Wines: 76

% of qualifying wines in category: (46.9%) % of qualifying wines in category: (42.2%) Percent of total seals eligible: (50.7%) Percent of total seals eligible: (28.7%)

#### Blush/Rose (Inc. Hybrid & Vinifera)

#### **Dessert Fortified: Dry or Sweet**

43

Total entries: 11 Total entries: 8

Percent of total entries: (2.6%)

Qualifying Wines: 6 Qualifying Wines: 3

% of qualifying wines in category: (54.5%) % of qualifying wines in category: (37.5%) Percent of total seals eligible: (4.0%) Percent of total seals eligible: (2.0%)

### <u>Ice Wine</u> <u>American Categories</u>

Total entries: 27 Total entries: 1

Percent of total entries: (8.7%) Percent of total entries: (0.3%)

Qualifying Wines: 21 Qualifying Wines: 1

% of qualifying wines in category: (77.8%) % of qualifying wines in category: (100.0%) Percent of total seals eligible: (14.0%) Percent of total seals eligible: (0.7%)

### The OQW Program Information

- Represents a great start to the OQW program
- Hopefully with the increased marketing efforts of OGIC we will see a corresponding increase in both the number of wineries participating and wines being entered

# OQW Program Information and Award Winners

- In addition, this will ultimately put more grapes in the ground becoming available for OQW status
- For a list of current OQW award winning wineries in addition to program rules and regulations please consult with OGIC at the following website:

http://www.tasteohiowines.com/about.php

### THANK YOU!

Todd Steiner

**Enology Program Manager and** 

Outreach Specialist

**OARDC** 

Dept. Of Horticulture & Crop Science

Phone: (330) 263-3881

E-mail: steiner.4@osu.edu