



QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEMS

Where Do We Go From Here?

Stephen Menke, Assoc. Prof. Enology
Horticulture and Landscape Architecture-WCRC
Colorado State University

Why Do Quality Assurance?

- Eliminate problems in wine
 - Clarity, aroma, taste faults
- Assure positive attributes dominate
 - Aroma and taste components desired
 - Color desired
 - Body components desired
 - Style desired
- Develop future desired product niches



Present Quality Assurance Systems

- Old World (Prescribed)
 - Based on historical "terroir" and styles
 - Standards defined by historical consensus
- Ontario VQA (Mandatory)
 - Varieties by appellation
 - Wines fault-free by consensus standards
- State and local in USA
 - CA appellations, PA Premium Wine Group, Lake Erie Quality Wine Alliance, NJ Quality Alliance, etc.
 - Standards defined by historical consensus



Structural Problems with Wine Quality Assurance Standards

- Wine variability extreme
 - Yearly vintage and "terroir" effects of grapes
 - Relatively "natural" fermentation effects
 - Style and aging variability
 - Geographic and niche market fragmentation



Definition Problems with Wine Quality Assurance Standards

- QA panels not statistically robust
- QA panels lack meaningful quantitative sensory standards
- Wine marketers have appropriated definitions of "quality" without applying statistically robust standards



Benefits of Statistically Robust Quantitative Standards

- Higher accuracy over diverse samples
- Can track starting point and any resulting changes from application of standards
- Can give more accurate advice to winemakers on wine quality parameters
- Can judge effects of standards on both production and marketing by feedback mechanisms



CO Quality Wine Program

- Long range plan promotes systemic pursuit of quality and starts with wine sensory evaluation panels
- Faults Panel
 - Faults panel trained to quantitative sensory standards by Quantitative Descriptive Analysis methodology
- Hedonistic historical consensus sensory panel
 - Use Davis type scale for market description purposes
- Market wines outside assessment region also tested
- Cross test wines with panels
- Faults panel + Hedonistic panel → composite pass or fail
- Confidential grouped anonymous data for statistical evaluation
- Fault-free designation used for marketing status



CO Quality Wine Program

- Market wines will be chemically analyzed
- Consumer surveys will validate effects of standards
- Research and Product Development
 - Panels will perform research on fault combinations to define interactions
 - Product development panels will research attributes, develop relative quantitative standards by wine niche, and test against chemical analysis and consumer surveys
 - Reports contain quality assurance advice for wineries on steps in production of wines and/or recommendations for further chemical analyses



Benefits of CO Quality Wine Program Sensory Faults Training

- QDA training separates and recombines faults for individuals according to quantitative references
 - Clearly defines faults for each individual
- QDA training + reference samples develops more accurate individual sensory apparatus
 - Individuals learn process of separation and definition
 - Process stays in memory permanently, and can be re-referenced by scalar standards
 - Consensus memories of individual aromas are relatively impermanent



Cost of CO Quality Wine Assurance Program

- Sample costs for quality testing panels paid by industry and/or wineries
- Initial fault standards QDA training paid for by trainees



Take-home Lesson

- Quality is the result of a process of constant pursuit of quality assurance at all levels.